

### **REMARKS**

This paper is responsive to an Office Action dated July 17, 2006. Prior to this amendment claims 1, 4-20, 24-27, 32-35, 37-51, 54-55, and 58-59 were pending. After amending claims 1, 10, 16, 19-20, 25, 33, 35, 42, and 55, and canceling claims 4-9, 24, 34, 37-41, 51, 54, and 58-59, claims 1, 10-20, 25-27, 32-33, 35, 42-50, and 55 remain pending.

In Section 3 of the Office Action claims 1, 4-20, 24-26, 33-51, 54-55, and 58-59 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Claims 1, 20, 33, and 55 have been amended to remove the phrase “master identity signal”.

In Section 5 the Office Action, claims 1, 4-6, 19, and 33-35, and 37-38 have been rejected as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) with respect to Lee (US Pub. 2002/0045424), in view of Zyren (US Patent 6,377,608), and further in view of Haartsen (US 6,754,250) and the Bluetooth specification.

In Section 7 of the Office Action claims 7-8 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Lee and Zyren, and further in view of Haartsen (US 6,519,460).

In Section 8 of the Office Action claims 20 and 51 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Lee and Zyren, and further in view of Haartsen (US 6,519,460).

In Section 9 of the Office Action claim 54 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Lee and Haartsen ‘460, and further in view of Haartsen ‘250.

In Section 10 of the Office Action claim 55 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Lee and Zyren, and further in view of Haartsen '250.

In Section 11 of the Office Action claim 58 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Lee and Zyren, and further in view of Haartsen '460 and Haartsen '250.

Section 12 of the Office Action states that claims 27 and 32 have been allowed. Note, the Applicant neither expressly agrees nor disagrees with the stated reasons for allowance.

Section 13 of the Office Action states that claims 9-18, 24-26, 41-50, and 59 would be allowable if rewritten to include the subject matter of the base and intervening claims.

Section 14 of the Office Action states that claims 9-18, 24-26, 41-50, and 59 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejections.

In response, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 4-9, now canceled. All claims dependent from claim 1 should now be found allowable. Claim 20 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 24, now canceled. All claims dependent from claim 20 should now be found allowable. Claim 33 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 33-34 and 37-41, now canceled. All claims dependent from claim 33 should now be found allowable. Claim 55 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 58 and 59, now canceled.

It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

8/22/2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gerald Maliszewski

Registration No. 38,054

Customer Number 55,286  
P.O. Box 270829  
San Diego, CA 92198-2829  
Telephone: (858) 451-9950  
Facsimile: (858) 451-9869  
gerry@ipatentit.net